1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	Susana A. Gonzales Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 253027 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor		
5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550		
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2221 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9			
10		1 .	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4500	
12	ANGEL E. ORELLANA, a.k.a. ANGEL ERNESTO ORELLANA		
13	60 Teddy Avenue San Francisco, CA 94134	ACCUSATION	
14 15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 59395	· ·	
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant allocas		
19	Complainant alleges: PARTIES		
20			
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about October 22, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
23	Registration Number TCH 59395 to Angel E. Orellana, also known as Angel Ernesto Orellana		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
l		1	

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 7. Section 4402, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period. Section 4402, subdivision (e), of the Code provides that any other license issued by the Board may be canceled by the Board if not renewed within 60 days after its expiration, and any license canceled in this fashion may not be reissued but will instead require a new application to seek reissuance.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or

4

5 6

8

7

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

2324

2526

27 28 to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

..

- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."
- 9. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the license.
 - 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a

lane. Based upon these observations, the officers initiated an enforcement stop and instructed Respondent to exit the Fremont Street off-ramp and park on a side street near the exit.

- 16. Officer 1 approached the driver's side of Respondent's vehicle and noticed that the window was already rolled down. When Officer 1 asked Respondent why he was driving so fast, Respondent stated that he was trying to take care of his passenger who had had too much to drink. Officer 1 smelled the odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from within the vehicle. Officer 1 also noticed that Respondent's eyes were red and glassy and his speech was slow and slurred. Respondent admitted that he consumed two small cups of beer at a friend's house in Richmond that night. Officer 1 asked Respondent to exit the vehicle. Respondent's gait was very unsteady as walked to the sidewalk. Respondent then admitted that he had actually consumed four small cups of beer at his friend's house.
- 17. Officer 1 asked Respondent to perform a series of Field Sobriety Tests (FSTs), which Respondent failed to perform as explained and demonstrated. Officer 1 concluded that Respondent had been driving under the influence and arrested him for violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs). Officer 1 informed Respondent of implied consent, and Respondent chose the breath test. Once he was transported to the San Francisco CHP office he completed the breath test, which showed that he had a blood alcohol content of .212 percent and .215 percent. Respondent was then transported to San Francisco County Jail for booking.
- 18. Once they arrived to the San Francisco County Jail, Officer 2 escorted Respondent to a holding cell for a complete search with a metal detector. During the complete search, Respondent removed a clear plastic bag containing a white powdery substance from his right sock. When Officer 1 learned of what had been found in Respondent's sock, he informed Respondent that he would be adding a charge for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a) (possession of controlled substance) to Respondent's booking card. After Officer 1 walked away, Officer 2 heard Respondent say, "It's Cocaine and I am going to lose my job." The substance tested positive for Cocaine on a presumptive test.

19. On or about May 22, 2012, in a criminal matter entitled *The People of the State of California v. Angel Ernesto Orellana*, in the San Francisco County Superior Court, Respondent was convicted by plea of nolo contendre of violating Vehicle Code section 23103 (reckless driving), a misdemeanor. Respondent was ordered to serve 18 months of probation, complete a 12 hour First Offenders Program, and to pay various fees and fines.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Possession of Cocaine) (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301)

20. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct by possessing Cocaine. The circumstances are set forth above in paragraphs 14 through 18.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Use of Alcohol Dangerous Manner) (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301, subd. (h))

21. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that he used alcohol in a dangerous manner. The circumstances are set forth above in paragraphs 14 through 18.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Conviction) (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301, subd. (I)

22. Respondent has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (I), as defined by California Code of Regulations section 1770, in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, duties, or functions of a licensee or registrant. The circumstances are set forth above in paragraphs 14 through 18.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 59395, issued to Angel E. Orellana, also known as Angel Ernesto Orellana;

1	2. Ordering Angel E. Orellana, also known as Angel Ernesto Orellana to pay the Board	
2	of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to	
3	Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and	
4	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
5		
6		
7	DATED: 2/12/13 Unericial deside	
8	VIRGINIA HEROLD	
9	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
10	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
11	Complainant	
12		
13	90281463.doc	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		